# HSA and Graduation 

## Report to the Board of Education <br> September 21, 2009

## Data Collection and Validation Process



# Class of 2009 How they met requirements 

- Special Education Certificates
- Passed all four
- Combined score
- Bridge
- Waiver


## Class of 2009 How they met requirements

Enrollment<br>Sept. 2008<br>60,916<br>Drop Outs<br>1709<br>June 2009<br>62,734



## Non-Grads: A Closer Look

Total "Non-Promotes"

3758

| Total Non-Grads with |
| :---: |
| HSA Requirement |
| 2291 |

Not MetOther
2280


## Non-Grads: A Closer Look

## Total Non-Grads: 3758

- Working toward Special Ed Certificates: 861

■ Entered prior to 2005 (no HSA requirement): 606
Non-Grads responsible for HSA requirement: 2291

- Not met requirements, HSA only: 11
- Not met local requirements only: 1573
- Not met local and HSA requirements: 682


## Who Dropped Out During Senior Year?

| Group | Number | Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 1709 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Asian | 50 | $1.4 \%$ |
| African Amer. | 718 | $3.0 \%$ |
| White | 731 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 198 | $4.3 \%$ |
| LEP | 50 | $5.2 \%$ |
| Special Ed | 185 | $3.2 \%$ |
| FARMs | 325 | $2.2 \%$ |
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## Class of 2009 Subgroups How they met requirements



| $\square$ No Requirement $\square$ Passed 4 | $\square$ Combined Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Bridge $\quad \square$ Waiver | $\square$ Not Met-Other |
| $\square$ Not Met-HSA |  |

## Class of 2009 How they met requirements



| $\square$ No Requirement $\square$ Passed 4 | $\square$ Combined Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Bridge |  |
| $\square$ Not Met-HSA |  |

## Class of 2009: 531 Waivers

| Reason | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: |
| Local scheduling | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Late course sequence | 21 |
| Testing decision | 24 |
| Inadequate intervention <br> opportunities | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| Special circumstances | 38 |

## Class of 2009: Waivers

Number of Tests Waived


Tests Waived


## Class of 2009: Waivers by Subgroup

|  | Number | Percent of <br> Group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 531 | 100.0 |
| Amer. Indian | 1 | 0.5 |
| Asian | 19 | 0.5 |
| African Amer. | 376 | 1.6 |
| White | 45 | 0.1 |
| Hispanic | 90 | 2.1 |

## Class of 2009: Waivers by Subgroup

|  | Number | Percent of <br> Group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 531 | 100.0 |
| Special <br> Education | 160 | 3.1 |
| FARMS | 241 | 1.7 |
| LEP | 77 | 9.1 |

## Graduation Rate

## "Leaver Rate"

- Developed by National Center on Educational Statistics
- Used by 35 states
- Counts all graduates, not just those who graduate in 4 years
- Does not follow a Grade 9 cohort


## Graduation Rate vs. Drop Outs

## Drop Out Recovery-Baltimore City

- Current rate not sensitive to:
- Recruitment of drop outs back to school
- Graduation of previous drop outs
- If it were, City graduation rate would be 66.62, or 4 points higher than the official rate


## 2009 Graduation Rate
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## 2009 Drop Outs
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## 2009 Non-Promotion Rate: Grade 12

- Lowest Grade 12 non-promote rate in at least 6 years ( 7.3 to 6.0 )
- Average was over 3900 prior to 2009: 3758
- 24\% of Special Ed, 11\% of FARMs, 10\% of LEP
- Many non-promoted students return (Last year was 3400)


## Senior Student Success

- Drop outs: Down
- Non-Promotes: Down
- Graduation: Up
- Conclusion: HSAs are having a positive impact on student retention, progress, and graduation.


## End of Grade 11 Status: Comparison of Class of 2009 and 2010

|  | Class of 2009 | Class of 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Percent Met | $83.4 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |
| Passed 4 | $69 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Combined <br> Score | $14 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Percent Not <br> Taken | $7.5 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |

## 2009 HS Adequate Yearly Progress

## What is "AYP"

- Adequate Yearly Progress - sufficient progress toward the goal of 100\% proficient by 2014.
- Determination of school success based on No Child Left Behind
- Uses MSA results and attendance data
- Schools must meet a yearly target (AMO)
- Must meet target for each of 8 subgroups


## Sample AYP Chart

| AYP: Adequate Yearly Progress |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 AYP: <br> - Show Trends <br> All Students | Not Met <br> All indicators <br> -Percent Proficient Met | $\rangle$ AYP $>$ Assessments $>$ D |  |  | Demographics |
|  |  | t be "Met" to ing | AYP. For Mat | ils, click on th natics | inks below. |
|  |  | -Participation Rate | Percent Proficient | -Participation Rate | *Graduation |
|  |  | Met | Met | Met | Met |
| American Indian/ Alaskan Native | na | na | na | na |  |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | Met | na | Met | na |  |
| African American | Met | Met | Met | Met |  |
| White (not of Hispanic origin) | Met | Met | Met | Met |  |
| Hispanic | Met | na | Met | na |  |
| Free/Reduced Meals | Met | Met | Met | Met |  |
| Special Education | Not Met | na | Met | na |  |
| Limited English Proficient | -- | -- | na | na |  |

## Challenges to Achieving AYP

- Target rises each year
- All subgroups must achieve targets
- Subgroups with 5 students or more counted
- Confidence interval shrinks each year
- Students receiving special services
- Challenged to achieve targets


## School Improvement Categories

| STAGES | PATHWAYS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Comprenensive <br> Needs <br> Pathway | Focused <br> Needs <br> Pathway |
| Developing Stage | Failing: <br> -All students or | Failing: <br> -1 to 2 subgroups |
| Priority Stage | $-3+$ subgroups |  |

## School Improvement Categories

| STAGES | Comprehensive <br> Needs <br> Pathway | Focused <br> Needs <br> Pathway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Schools enter after <br> not achieving AYP <br> two times | Schools enter after <br> not achieving AYP <br> two times |
|  | Schools enter when <br> Priority <br> Stage failed fifth time | Schools enter when <br> AYP failed fifth time |

## High Schools in Improvement

## PATHWAYS

STAGES
Developing
Stage
Priority
Stage

## AYP Results- 2009 High Schools

- Of 238 schools, 185 (78\%) met AYP
- 8 Schools exited school improvement
- 5 fewer schools are in school improvement now than in 2008


## Schools in I mprovement All Schools

| STAGES | PATHNAYS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Comprenensive <br> Needs <br> Pathway | Focused <br> Needs <br> Pathway |
| Developing <br> Stage | 47 schools | 45 schools |
| Priority <br> Stage | 85 schools | 23 schools |

## AYP Results- 2009 All Schools

- Of 1372 schools, 1055 (77\%) met AYP
- 27 Schools exited school improvement
- 18 fewer schools are in school improvement now than in 2008


## HSA and Graduation

## Questions and Discussion

